### Revisiting Secondary Indexing in LSM-based Storage Systems with Persistent Memory ATC'2023

# **Background: LSM-Tree**

### • WAL Log

A sequential log that records all writes

before they are applied, enabling crash recovery.

#### • Memtable

An in-memory balanced tree that buffers incoming writes.

### • Immutable Memtable

A memtable that is made read-only and pending flush to disk.

• SSTable

An immutable sorted file on disk containing key-value pairs.

#### Log Structured Merge Trees



### **Background: LSM-Tree**

- Tier Compaction
  - Number of SSTables in a level Reach Threshold conduct Tier Compaction
  - Multiple SSTables in that level will be merged into a new SSTable and placed into the next higher level



# **Background: Secondary Indexing**

- **Primary Key Index**: indexed by primary key.(StudentID)
- Querying by **non-primay-key** is common. E.g., find student whose major is Computer.
- Second Index
  - Additional index maintaining mappings of **other field to primary key**. E.g., {Major -> StudentID}
  - Besides the main index based on primary key, all other indexes are **secondary index**
  - Indispensable technique in database system



# **Challenge: Inefficient Secondary Indexing in LSMbased Systems**

- Secondary Indexing is inefficient with LSM-Tree
  - Inferior read performance is not friendly to secondary indexing



# **Challenge: Consistency Among Indexes**

- Consistency Among Indexes is troublesome due to blind-write
  - E.g., update Alice(0001)'s major from Math to Computer: PUT: {0001->Alice, Computer} In LSM-Tree
  - In secondary Index:
    - Insert new entry {Computer -> 0001}
    - Delete old entry {Math -> 0001}
  - Problem: Do not know old secondary key Math due to blind-write

StudentID	Name	Major	EnrollmentYear	
0001	Alice	Math	2021	
0002	Bob	Computer	2021	
0003	Carol	Music	2022	
0004	Dave	Physics	2022	
0005	Ellen	Computer	2023	

**Primary Table** 





### **Challenge: Consistency Among Indexes**

### • Two strategies for this issue:

- Synchronous: READ old record to get old secondary key Math, and then delete in secondary index.
  Discard blind-write, low write performance
- Validation: keep old entry {Math -> 0001}, but at query, fetch record of '0001' in primary table for validation \_\_\_\_\_ Low query performance



# Challenges

### • LSM-Tree Not suitable for secondary indexing:

- Optimize query efficiency in LSM-based secondary index (consider multiple values & small KV pairs)
- Retain blind-write attribute and consistency of secondary indexes



Find a better solution for secondary indexes in LSM-based storage systems

# **Persistent Memory**

- leveraging persistent memory (PM) to provide a new solution for secondary indexing is promising.
  - Byte-addressability
  - DRAM comparable latency
  - Data persistency
  - high random access latency
  - write amplification for small random writes
- Though there are many state-of-the-art PM-based indexes, none of them are designed for secondary indexing
  - Use Composite Index
  - Use a conventional allocator



7





**Overshadow their performance!** 

# **Perseid Design Overview**

### • PS-Tree

- PKey layer for storing secondary values
- log-structured approaches insertions
- Arranges entries with good locality

### • Hybrid PM-DRAM Validation

- Retains blind-write of LSM
- Lightweight validation on DRAM

### Non-Index-Only Query Optimizations

- Filters out irrelevant component
- Parallelizes primary table searching



Figure 2: The overall architecture with Perseid.

# **PS-Tree**

### • SKey Layer

- Indexing secondary- keys
- Using existing high-performance PMbased index
- Each pointer stores a pointer to Pkey page and offset within a Pkey page

### • PKey Layer

- Each PKey entry has an 8-byte metadata header and a primary key.
- Metadata Header contains SQN Number
- Inserts PKey Entries into PKey Pages in a log-structured manner to reduce the write overhead
- Stores PKey Entries of contiguous SKeys in the same PKey Page
- Rearrange entries Pkey entries that belongs to same secondary keys to store as a Pkey Group



### **PS-Tree**

- PKey Group
  - Contain a group header(GH) and multiple Pkeys(PE) of the same Skeys
  - Skey point to latest Pkey group
  - Groups belongs to one Skey are linked



### **PS-Tree Basic Operations**

### • Log-Structured Insertion

- First search for the Skey to get corresponding Pkey Group
- Second appends a new Pkey Group in that Pkey page
- Thrid the new pointer of the Skey is updated or inserted in the SKey Layer

### • Search

- First Search Skey Layer for secondary key and its pointer
- Validate the primary Key before returning

1	Algorithm 1: Insert(SKey sk, PKey pk, Slice val, Se- qNumber seq)				
1	search for the <i>leaf_node</i> and pointer ptr of sk in SKey Layer				
2	if $ptr \neq NULL$ then // found sk				
3	<pre>pkey_page = pointer.pkey_page;</pre>				
4	else				
5	pkey_page = leaf_node→get_prev_pkey_page(sk);				
6	end				
7	if pkey_page is full then				
8	pkey_page split;				
9	goto Line 1;				
10	end				
11	construct a PKeyGroup pg with pk, val, seq, and ptr;				
12	new_ptr = pkey_page $\rightarrow$ append(pg);				
13	leaf_node→upsert(sk, new_ptr);				

# **PS-Tree PKey Page Split & GC**

- Pkey Page split & GC
  - Split Pkey Page in a copy-on-write manner when Space not enough
  - rearranges PKey Entries belonging to the same SKey in one PKey Group
  - Physical remove obsolete entries and validate other





### **Hybrid PM-DRAM Validation**

- Perseid introduce a lightweight validation approach
  - Retain blind-write of LSM primary table
  - Volatile hash table in DRAM, persistent hash table in PM
  - Matain the latest version number for primary keys with Persistent hash table
  - Validate using hash table instead of LSM primary table



# **Non-Index-Only Query Optimizations**

- Locating Components with Sequence Number
  - Build a zone map that stores SQN range for each component
  - Vertically search SQN range and horizontally search Pkey
  - Reducing most component overhead with tiering Strategy
- Parallel Primary Table Searching
  - using multiple threads to accelerate primary table searching
  - apply a worker-active fashion.





# **Evaluation: Experiment Set Up**

#### Hardware

CPU	18-core Intel Xeon Gold 5220 CPU
PM	2 * 128 GB Intel Optane DC PMMs
DRAM	64 GB DDR4 DIMMs
SSD	480 GB Intel Optane 905P

### **Compared Systems**

FAST&FAIR-Perseid P-Masstree-Perseid

FAST&FAIR-composite P-Masstree-composite

FAST&FAIR-log P-Masstree-log

LSMSI LSMSI-PM

### Workloads

Twitter-like workload generator for secondary indexing

100 million primary keys, 4 million secondary keys, record size 1KB

### **Evaluation: Insert and Update**

- Perseid performs about 10-38% faster than the corresponding composite indexes
- 25% slower than the ideal log-structured approach without garbage collection due to the page split overhead in PS-Tree.



# **Evaluation: Index-Only Query**

- LSMSI is quite inefficient for queries, even if on PM
- Perseid outperforms existing PM indexes by up to 4.5x
- Perseid is much more stable across different workloads, owing to the locality-aware design of PS-Tree.



### **Evaluation: Non-Index-Only Query**

- Perseid outperforms LSMSI by up to 2.3x
- Optimizations on primary table searching have significant effect, by up to 3.1x



### Conclusion

